



-2-

- (3) as pointed out by OSI in a memorandum dated 12 February 1951, that office was concerned that no action be taken which would seriously impair the present exchange arrangements between the US and the USSR for scientific and technical literature.

b. Objection to applying the mandatory controls to "... information of any kind which can be used in connection with ... articles or materials." The objection to this was that it was so broad as to cover everything and that while it was to be nullified for the most part by a general license, this was an unnecessarily complicated mechanism and it would be more desirable from an intelligence viewpoint to have a greater degree of specificity in the first instance.

c. Objection to the effort to apply export control to intangibles, and to technical know-how of personnel which could be controlled only by censorship, the Espionage Act and the control of passports and visas.

4. Based on intelligence considerations, I supported the extension of export controls to "any ... document, etc. containing technical information regarding any article or material on the Positive List" and cited the efforts of the Soviet industry espionage system to secure this type of information from US industry.

5. The statements of the Defense member (OC 444.4), the ECA member (OC 444.5) and the State member (444.6) tended to support the statement of the CIA member. At the meeting, the OIT member recommended the deletion of the words "other than that appearing generally in a form available to the public" and strongly advocated this change in the definition recommended by the Task Group (OC 444.3). Only the CIA representative openly opposed this change.

6. The OIT recommendation with some revision will be presented to the Advisory Committee on Export Policy.

25X1A9a

